

ADVOCACY



१९२१-२०२१

TMV's Lokmanya Tilak Law College's

QUARTERLY eMAGAZINE

Volume VI

Issue 20 (Jan-April 2026)

Rights, Law & Democracy

EDITOR

FACULTY IN-CHARGE

Asst. Prof. Vaishnavi Nirmal

Asst. Prof. Vidhya Shetty

*Articles invited for the next issue, interested candidates
can mail your articles on advocacytmv@gmail.com*

DISCLAIMER

Facts and opinions in articles published in the online e-Magazine “Advocacy” are solely the personal statements of respective authors. Authors are responsible for all contents in their article(s) including accuracy of the facts, statements, citing resources, and so on. Online e-Magazine “Advocacy” and editors disclaim any liability of violations of other parties’ rights, or any damage incurred as a consequence to use or apply any of the contents of eMagazine “Advocacy”. Material submitted to e-Magazine “Advocacy” must be original and not published or submitted for publication elsewhere. Author is responsible to get permission from previous publisher or copyright holder if an author is re-using any part of paper (i.e. figure or figures) published elsewhere, or that is copyrighted. The editors consider all material in good faith and that the e-Magazine “Advocacy” has full permission to publish every part of the submitted material including illustrations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	The Doctrine of Basic Structure: Safeguarding the Indian Constitution
2	Legal Challenges in Implementing India's Net Zero Target by 2070
3	Freedom of Speech and Expression: Legal Challenges in India
4	The Role of Fundamental Rights in Strengthening Indian Democracy
5	Citizenship in India: Evolution, Law, and Contemporary Debates

THE DOCTRINE OF BASIC STRUCTURE: SAFEGUARDING THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION

By- Mayuresh Pardeshi

Introduction

The Constitution of India serves as the foundation of the country's democratic framework. It is designed to be flexible enough to adapt to changing social, political, and economic realities while preserving the fundamental values upon which the nation is built. One of the most significant constitutional principles that safeguards these values is the Basic Structure Doctrine. This doctrine acts as a constitutional shield by ensuring that Parliament cannot alter the essential features of the Constitution, even while exercising its power to amend it. The doctrine emerged through judicial interpretation and has become one of the most important contributions of the Indian judiciary to constitutional law. It maintains a delicate balance between Parliament's authority to amend the Constitution and the need to preserve its fundamental identity.

The Need for Constitutional Limitations

Article 368 of the Constitution grants Parliament the power to amend constitutional provisions. In the early years after independence, there was considerable debate regarding whether this power was unlimited. If Parliament possessed unrestricted authority, it could potentially alter democratic principles, fundamental rights, or the federal structure of governance. To prevent such possibilities, the judiciary developed the Basic Structure Doctrine. This principle ensures that constitutional amendments cannot destroy or damage the Constitution's core values and framework.

Evolution of the Doctrine

The doctrine did not emerge overnight. It evolved through a series of landmark judicial decisions. Initially, in cases such as *Shankari Prasad v. Union of India* (1951) and *Sajjan Singh v. State of Rajasthan* (1965), the Supreme Court upheld Parliament's broad power to amend the Constitution, including fundamental rights. However, in *Golaknath v. State of Punjab* (1967), the

Court adopted a different approach and held that Parliament could not amend fundamental rights. This decision created uncertainty regarding the extent of constitutional amendment powers. The issue was finally resolved in the historic *Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala* (1973) judgement. The Supreme Court ruled that while Parliament has extensive powers to amend the Constitution, it cannot alter its "basic structure." This decision became a landmark in constitutional jurisprudence and continues to guide constitutional interpretation today.

What Constitutes the Basic Structure?

The Constitution does not provide a definitive list of basic structure elements. Instead, the Supreme Court has identified these principles through various judgements over time. Some commonly recognized features include:

- Supremacy of the Constitution
- Rule of Law
- Judicial Review
- Separation of Powers
- Federalism
- Secularism
- Democracy
- Protection of Fundamental Rights
- Independence of the Judiciary
- Free and Fair Elections

These features are considered essential to preserving the constitutional identity of India.

Landmark Cases Strengthening the Doctrine

Following *Kesavananda Bharati*, several important decisions further developed the doctrine. In *Indira Gandhi v. Raj Narain* (1975), the Supreme Court struck down constitutional provisions that attempted to place election disputes beyond judicial review. The Court reaffirmed that democracy and judicial review form part of the Constitution's basic structure. In *Minerva Mills v. Union of India* (1980), the Court emphasized the importance of maintaining a balance between

Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles of State Policy. It held that this balance itself constitutes a basic feature of the Constitution. The doctrine was further strengthened in *S.R. Bommai v. Union of India* (1994), where federalism and secularism were recognized as fundamental constitutional values. Later, in *I.R. Coelho v. State of Tamil Nadu* (2007), the Supreme Court ruled that even laws placed in the Ninth Schedule could be examined if they violated the basic structure of the Constitution.

Importance of the Doctrine- The Basic Structure Doctrine has played a crucial role in preserving India's constitutional democracy. It acts as a safeguard against arbitrary constitutional amendments and prevents the concentration of power in any one institution. By empowering courts to review constitutional amendments, the doctrine strengthens accountability and protects citizens from potential abuse of power. It also ensures that democratic governance remains intact regardless of changing political circumstances. Furthermore, the doctrine reinforces public confidence in constitutional institutions by guaranteeing that certain core principles cannot be dismantled through temporary political majorities.

Criticisms and Challenges

Despite its significance, the doctrine has faced criticism from legal scholars and political commentators. One major criticism is that the doctrine is not explicitly mentioned in the Constitution. Critics argue that the judiciary effectively created a limitation on Parliament's powers without constitutional authorization. Another concern relates to judicial overreach. Since the Court determines what constitutes the basic structure, some believe that judges possess excessive discretionary power, potentially interfering with the legislative process. Additionally, the absence of a precise definition of the doctrine can create uncertainty in constitutional interpretation. Different benches may identify different constitutional principles as part of the basic structure, leading to inconsistency.

Comparative Perspective

India is not alone in imposing limits on constitutional amendments. Germany's Constitution contains an "eternity clause" that protects certain democratic and constitutional principles from amendment. Similarly, constitutional courts in several countries have recognized implied

limitations on amendment powers to preserve democratic governance. However, India's Basic Structure Doctrine remains one of the most developed and influential examples of judicial protection of constitutional identity.

Conclusion

The Basic Structure Doctrine stands as one of the most remarkable innovations in Indian constitutional law. It preserves the core values of the Constitution while allowing necessary constitutional evolution. By preventing amendments that would destroy the Constitution's fundamental character, the doctrine ensures that democracy, liberty, equality, secularism, and the rule of law remain protected. Although debates regarding judicial activism and parliamentary sovereignty continue, the doctrine has proven to be an effective safeguard against constitutional erosion. As India continues to evolve, the Basic Structure Doctrine will remain a cornerstone of constitutional governance, ensuring that change occurs without compromising the foundational principles of the Republic.

REFERENCES:

- 1.H.M. Seervai, *Constitutional Law of India* 312 (4th ed. 1996).
 - 2.Granville Austin, *Working a Democratic Constitution: A History of the Indian Experience*254 (1999).
 3. P.P. Rao, "Basic Structure Doctrine and its Legislative Implications," 46 JILI 67 (2004).
 - 4.M.P. Jain, *Indian Constitutional Law* 615 (7th ed. 2016).
 - 5.Y.V. Chandrachud, "Judicial Review and the Basic Structure Doctrine," 5 SCC (J) 1 (1985).
 - 6.Shankari Prasad v. Union of India, AIR 1951 SC 458.
 - 7.Sajjan Singh v. State of Rajasthan, AIR 1965 SC 845.
 - 8.Golaknath v. State of Punjab, AIR 1967 SC 1643.
 - 9.Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala, AIR 1973 SC 1461.
 10. Indira Gandhi v. Raj Narain, AIR 1975 SC 2299.
 11. Minerva Mills Ltd. v. Union of India, AIR 1980 SC 1789.
 13. S.R. Bommai v. Union of India, AIR 1994 SC 1918.
-

LEGAL CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING INDIA'S NET ZERO TARGET BY 2070

By- Nitish Vijay Bhalerao

INTRODUCTION

Climate change is no longer a distant environmental concern; it is a present-day reality affecting every nation across the globe. Rising temperatures, unpredictable weather patterns, melting glaciers, sea-level rise, and increasing natural disasters have highlighted the urgent need for collective action against global warming. Scientific evidence confirms that greenhouse gas emissions, particularly carbon dioxide released from the burning of fossil fuels, are the primary drivers of climate change. India, as one of the world's fastest-growing economies and largest energy consumers, faces the dual challenge of sustaining economic growth while reducing environmental impacts. At the 26th Conference of Parties (COP26) held in Glasgow in 2021, India announced its commitment to achieving Net Zero carbon emissions by 2070. This pledge reflects the country's determination to contribute to global climate action while ensuring sustainable development.

Net Zero emissions refer to a state where the amount of greenhouse gases emitted into the atmosphere is balanced by the amount removed or absorbed through natural or technological means. Achieving this objective requires reducing dependence on fossil fuels, increasing renewable energy production, promoting energy efficiency, and strengthening environmental governance. India has enacted various environmental and energy-related laws to facilitate this transition. However, the effectiveness of these laws in achieving the Net Zero target remains a subject of debate. This article explores India's existing legal framework, assesses its contribution toward climate goals, and identifies key challenges that must be addressed to ensure a successful transition to a low-carbon economy.

UNDERSTANDING NET ZERO EMISSIONS

Net Zero does not necessarily mean eliminating all greenhouse gas emissions. Instead, it involves reducing emissions to the greatest extent possible while offsetting the remaining

emissions through carbon sinks such as forests, wetlands, and advanced carbon capture technologies.

The concept has gained global significance following the adoption of the Paris Agreement, which seeks to limit global temperature rise to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels, with efforts to keep warming below 1.5°C. Countries worldwide are establishing long-term strategies to achieve carbon neutrality and contribute to global climate stability.

For India, achieving Net Zero by 2070 requires substantial reforms in energy generation, industrial production, transportation, urban development, agriculture, and environmental conservation.

INDIA'S LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR NET ZERO TRANSITION

1. Environment (Protection) Act, 1986

The Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 serves as the cornerstone of India's environmental legislation. Enacted following the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment held in Stockholm, the Act provides the Central Government with extensive powers to protect and improve environmental quality. Often referred to as an "umbrella legislation," the Act empowers authorities to establish environmental standards, regulate industrial activities, prevent pollution, and take corrective measures against environmental violations.

The legislation plays a crucial role in India's climate strategy by:

- Regulating environmentally hazardous industries.
- Establishing pollution control standards.
- Facilitating environmental impact assessments.
- Supporting sustainable development initiatives.

Although the Act was not specifically designed to address climate change, its broad regulatory framework provides a foundation for environmental protection and emissions control.

2. AIR (PREVENTION AND CONTROL OF POLLUTION) ACT, 1981

The Air Act was enacted to prevent, control, and reduce air pollution across the country. It established the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) and State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs), which monitor air quality and enforce pollution standards.

The Act contributes to climate goals by:

- Regulating industrial emissions.
- Monitoring air quality levels.
- Promoting pollution control technologies.
- Creating awareness regarding air pollution and public health.

Since greenhouse gases and industrial emissions often originate from the same sources, effective implementation of the Air Act can indirectly contribute to reducing carbon emissions.

3. WATER (PREVENTION AND CONTROL OF POLLUTION) ACT, 1974

The Water Act focuses on maintaining and restoring water quality by regulating industrial discharges and preventing water pollution. Climate change significantly affects water availability and quality. Therefore, protecting water resources is an essential component of climate resilience.

The Act supports environmental sustainability through:

- Monitoring water pollution.
- Regulating industrial effluents.
- Promoting water conservation.
- Protecting aquatic ecosystems.

Together with the Air Act and Environment Protection Act, it forms an essential part of India's environmental governance framework.

4. ENERGY CONSERVATION ACT, 2001 (AMENDED IN 2022)

The Energy Conservation (Amendment) Act, 2022 introduced significant reforms aimed at accelerating India's transition toward a low-carbon economy. One of its most notable features is the introduction of a Carbon Credit Trading Scheme, which incentivizes industries to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The amended legislation also promotes energy efficiency standards for buildings, industries, and appliances.

Key provisions include:

- Establishment of carbon trading mechanisms.
- Mandatory energy efficiency standards.
- Promotion of renewable energy use.
- Enhanced powers of the Bureau of Energy Efficiency (BEE).

The Act represents a major step toward aligning India's legal framework with its Net Zero ambitions.

5. ELECTRICITY ACT, 2003

The Electricity Act, 2003 transformed India's power sector by encouraging competition, efficiency, and private sector participation. Its significance in achieving Net Zero lies in its support for renewable energy development. The Act promotes:

- Renewable energy generation.
- Open access to electricity markets.
- Sustainable electricity distribution.
- Regulatory mechanisms for clean energy integration.

As electricity generation remains one of the largest sources of carbon emissions, reforms under this Act are essential for decarbonizing India's energy sector.

NATIONAL ACTION PLAN ON CLIMATE CHANGE (NAPCC)

Launched in 2008, the National Action Plan on Climate Change serves as India's principal climate policy framework. The plan seeks to integrate climate considerations into national development strategies while promoting sustainable growth.

The NAPCC is built around eight national missions:

- 1. National Solar Mission-** Promotes large-scale deployment of solar energy and encourages rooftop solar installations.
- 2. National Mission for Enhanced Energy Efficiency-** Focuses on improving energy efficiency across industries and households.
- 3. National Water Mission-** Aims to improve water conservation and management practices.
- 4. National Mission on Sustainable Habitat-** Promotes energy-efficient urban planning, public transportation, and waste management.
- 5. National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture-** Encourages climate-resilient agricultural practices and sustainable irrigation methods.
- 6. Green India Mission-** Focuses on afforestation, ecosystem restoration, and biodiversity conservation.
- 7. National Mission on Strategic Knowledge for Climate Change-** Supports climate research, innovation, and policy development.
- 8. National Mission for Sustaining the Himalayan Ecosystem-** Protects fragile Himalayan ecosystems and addresses climate-related vulnerabilities.

Collectively, these missions provide a comprehensive framework for addressing climate change while advancing sustainable development.

LEGAL CHALLENGES IN ACHIEVING NET ZERO BY 2070

Despite the existence of numerous environmental laws and climate policies, India faces several legal and institutional obstacles.

- Absence of a Comprehensive Climate Law
- Weak Enforcement Mechanisms
- Uncertain Carbon Market Framework
- Industrial and Transport Sector Challenges
- Deforestation and Land Use Issues
- Coordination Challenges
- Financial Constraints

THE WAY FORWARD

To successfully achieve Net Zero emissions by 2070, India must strengthen its legal and policy framework through several key reforms:

Enact a Dedicated Climate Change Law- A comprehensive climate legislation should establish legally binding emission reduction targets and accountability mechanisms.

Strengthen Regulatory Enforcement- Environmental agencies should be equipped with greater resources, authority, and technological capabilities for effective monitoring and compliance.

Expand Renewable Energy Incentives- Government support for solar, wind, green hydrogen, and energy storage technologies should be increased.

Develop a Robust Carbon Market- Clear regulations governing carbon trading and carbon pricing can encourage industries to reduce emissions efficiently.

Promote Sustainable Transportation- Investment in electric mobility, public transportation, and alternative fuels can significantly reduce emissions from the transport sector.

Enhance Public Participation- Awareness campaigns and community engagement initiatives can encourage sustainable consumption and climate-conscious behavior.

CONCLUSION

India's commitment to achieving Net Zero emissions by 2070 represents a significant step toward addressing the global climate crisis. Existing laws such as the Environment Protection Act, the Air Act, the Water Act, the Energy Conservation Act, and the Electricity Act provide an important foundation for environmental governance and sustainable development. Furthermore, the National Action Plan on Climate Change offers a comprehensive policy framework to guide climate action. However, legal and institutional gaps continue to pose substantial challenges. The absence of a dedicated climate law, weak enforcement mechanisms, regulatory uncertainty, and financial constraints hinder progress toward carbon neutrality. Achieving the Net Zero target will require not only stronger legislation but also effective implementation, technological innovation, intergovernmental cooperation, and active public participation. As climate risks continue to intensify, India must adopt a forward-looking legal framework that balances economic development with environmental protection. Through decisive reforms and sustained commitment, the country can successfully transition toward a sustainable, resilient, and low-carbon future.

REFERENCES

1. Shibani Ghosh, *Environmental Law in India* (Oxford University Press, 2019).
 2. Leelakrishnan P., *Environmental Law in India* (LexisNexis, 5th ed., 2019).
 3. Government of India, *National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC)*, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, 2008.
 4. Ministry of Power, Government of India, *Energy Conservation Act, 2001* (as amended in 2022).
 5. Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India, *Electricity Act, 2003*.
 6. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India, *Environment (Protection) Act, 1986*.
 7. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India, *Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981*.
 8. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India, *Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974*.
-

FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND EXPRESSION: LEGAL CHALLENGES IN INDIA

By- Rajendra Dattatraya Shitole

INTRODUCTION

The rise of social media has fundamentally altered the way individuals communicate and engage with society. Today, digital platforms serve as spaces for political discussions, social movements, cultural exchanges, and public debates. Citizens can instantly share information, voice opinions, and participate in conversations that were once limited to traditional media. However, the rapid expansion of social media has also generated significant concerns. The spread of fake news, online abuse, hate speech, privacy breaches, and misinformation has prompted governments around the world to consider stronger regulatory measures. In India, these concerns have created a delicate constitutional dilemma: how can the state regulate harmful online content without undermining the fundamental right to freedom of speech and expression? The Indian Constitution guarantees freedom of speech and expression under Article 19(1)(a). At the same time, Article 19(2) permits reasonable restrictions in the interests of sovereignty, security, public order, morality, and other legitimate concerns. The challenge lies in maintaining a balance between protecting individual liberty and ensuring social harmony in the digital sphere.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND SOCIAL MEDIA

Freedom of speech is a cornerstone of any democratic society. It allows citizens to express opinions, criticize authorities, exchange ideas, and participate in governance. Social media has amplified this freedom by providing a platform where anyone with internet access can reach a global audience. Digital platforms have empowered marginalized voices, encouraged civic participation, and facilitated social change. At the same time, the unrestricted nature of online communication has made it easier for harmful content to spread rapidly. As a result, governments and courts are increasingly required to address conflicts between free expression and public safety.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK GOVERNING SOCIAL MEDIA IN INDIA

Section 69A of the Information Technology Act, 2000

Section 69A authorizes the government to block public access to online content in specific circumstances. Such restrictions may be imposed to protect:

- The sovereignty and integrity of India.
- National security and defence interests.
- Friendly relations with foreign states.
- Public order.
- Prevention of offences related to the above grounds.

While this provision aims to address legitimate security concerns, critics argue that excessive use of blocking powers may affect freedom of expression and transparency.

DATA PROTECTION AND PRIVACY

The increasing collection and processing of personal data by digital platforms has raised concerns regarding privacy rights. Regulatory efforts seek to ensure that individuals maintain control over their personal information while promoting accountability among technology companies. The protection of personal data has become closely linked to freedom of speech because extensive surveillance or data misuse can discourage individuals from expressing themselves freely online.

MISINFORMATION AND FAKE NEWS

One of the most serious challenges associated with social media is the rapid spread of false or misleading information. During elections, public health emergencies, and social conflicts, misinformation can influence public opinion and create social unrest. The Indian legal system contains several provisions aimed at addressing harmful content that promotes violence, hatred, or public disorder. However, excessive regulation may also risk suppressing legitimate criticism and dissent. Therefore, policymakers must ensure that measures targeting misinformation remain proportionate and constitutionally valid.

IMPORTANT LEGAL PROVISIONS

Several provisions under criminal law may apply to harmful online content:

- **Section 196 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023** addresses acts that promote enmity between different groups and disturb social harmony.
- **Section 299 BNS** deals with deliberate actions intended to outrage religious feelings.
- **Section 173(3) BNS** requires a preliminary inquiry before registration of certain criminal cases, helping prevent misuse of criminal law.
- Provisions relating to public order, incitement, and communal disharmony may also be invoked where online content threatens peace and security.

These laws seek to balance free expression with the need to maintain public order and communal harmony.

LANDMARK JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015)

This landmark judgement struck down Section 66A of the Information Technology Act, 2000. The Supreme Court held that the provision was unconstitutional because it imposed vague and excessive restrictions on free speech. The decision remains one of the strongest judicial affirmations of digital free expression in India.

Anuradha Bhasin v. Union of India (2020)

The Supreme Court held that access to the internet is closely connected with the exercise of constitutional freedoms. It emphasized that restrictions on internet access must be necessary, proportionate, and subject to periodic review.

Manohar Lal Sharma v. Union of India (Pegasus Case)

The Court recognized the importance of privacy and freedom of expression in the digital age. It stressed that unauthorized surveillance can have a chilling effect on free speech and democratic participation.

Indibity Creative Pvt. Ltd. v. State of West Bengal (2020)

The Supreme Court protected artistic freedom by holding that state authorities cannot suppress creative expression without lawful justification. The judgement reinforced constitutional safeguards for free speech and artistic creativity.

Facebook India v. Union of India

This case highlighted the growing debate regarding intermediary liability and government regulation of social media platforms. It raised important questions about accountability, content moderation, and users' constitutional rights.

Recent Developments: Imran Pratapgarhi Case

A recent Supreme Court decision involving Congress MP Imran Pratapgarhi reaffirmed the judiciary's commitment to protecting freedom of expression. The Court quashed criminal proceedings arising from an Instagram post containing a poem and emphasized that restrictions on speech must be reasonable and constitutionally justified. The Court observed that democracy thrives on diverse opinions and that disagreement should be met with counter-speech rather than suppression. It further acknowledged the significant role of literature, poetry, art, films, and satire in enriching democratic culture. The judgement serves as a reminder that constitutional freedoms cannot be curtailed merely because certain expressions are unpopular or controversial.

CHALLENGES IN REGULATING SOCIAL MEDIA

Despite legal safeguards, several challenges continue to emerge:

- Balancing free speech with public order.
- Preventing misuse of regulatory powers.
- Addressing misinformation without censorship.
- Protecting privacy in an era of digital surveillance.
- Ensuring accountability of social media companies.
- Regulating artificial intelligence-generated content and deepfakes.

As technology evolves, legal frameworks must adapt while remaining consistent with constitutional principles.

CONCLUSION

Social media has become an indispensable part of modern democratic life. It enables citizens to communicate, participate in public discourse, and exercise their constitutional right to freedom of expression. At the same time, the challenges posed by misinformation, hate speech, online harassment, and privacy concerns require effective regulatory responses. India's constitutional framework seeks to strike a balance between liberty and regulation through Article 19(1)(a) and the reasonable restrictions contained in Article 19(2). Courts have consistently played a vital role in safeguarding free speech while ensuring that restrictions remain proportionate and justified. As digital technologies continue to evolve, maintaining this balance will remain one of the most significant constitutional challenges of our time. A democratic society must protect free expression while fostering responsible digital citizenship, ensuring that social media remains a platform for dialogue, innovation, and democratic participation.

REFERENCES

1. M.P. Jain's Indian Constitutional Law, LexisNexis, 2022.
 2. V.N. Shukla's Constitution of India, Eastern Book Company, 2021.
 3. Constitutional Law of India, Universal Law Publishing, 2019.
 4. Introduction to the Constitution of India, LexisNexis, 2021.
 5. Shreya Singhal v. Union of India, AIR 2015 SC 1523.
 6. Anuradha Bhasin v. Union of India, (2020) 3 SCC 637.
 7. Manohar Lal Sharma v. Union of India, (2021) SCC Online SC 985.
 8. Indibilty Creative Pvt. Ltd. v. Government of West Bengal, (2020) 12 SCC 436.
 9. Law Relating to Computers, Internet and E-Commerce, Universal Law Publishing, Latest Edition.
 10. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, Information Technology Act, 2000 and Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021.
-

THE ROLE OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS IN STRENGTHENING INDIAN DEMOCRACY

By- Pratik Humane

INTRODUCTION

A democracy thrives when its citizens enjoy freedom, equality, and protection from arbitrary exercise of power. In India, these values are embodied in the Fundamental Rights guaranteed under Part III of the Constitution. Often described as the "heart and soul" of the Constitution, these rights ensure that every individual can live with dignity, participate in public life, and seek protection against injustice. The framers of the Constitution recognized that political freedom would be meaningless without legal safeguards for individual liberty. Therefore, they incorporated a comprehensive set of Fundamental Rights inspired by democratic constitutions across the world, particularly the American Bill of Rights. These rights not only protect citizens from state excesses but also strengthen democratic governance by promoting equality, justice, and accountability. Over the years, the judiciary has played a transformative role in expanding the scope of Fundamental Rights. Through progressive interpretations, courts have adapted constitutional principles to meet contemporary challenges, making these rights dynamic rather than static. Despite these advancements, several obstacles continue to affect their effective realization, including social inequalities, delays in the justice system, and restrictions imposed in the name of public order and security.

THE CONSTITUTIONAL VISION OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

Fundamental Rights are enshrined in Articles 12 to 35 of the Constitution. They include the Right to Equality, Right to Freedom, Right against Exploitation, Right to Freedom of Religion, Cultural and Educational Rights, and the Right to Constitutional Remedies. These rights serve two important functions. First, they protect individuals from arbitrary governmental action. Second, they create conditions necessary for democratic participation and social justice. By guaranteeing equality before law and freedom of expression, the Constitution empowers citizens to actively engage in the democratic process. Dr. B.R. Ambedkar famously described the Right

to Constitutional Remedies under Article 32 as the "heart and soul" of the Constitution because it enables citizens to approach courts whenever their rights are violated.

JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION AND EXPANSION OF RIGHTS

One of the most remarkable features of Indian constitutional law is the proactive role played by the judiciary in protecting and expanding Fundamental Rights. In the landmark case of *Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala* (1973), the Supreme Court introduced the Basic Structure Doctrine, ensuring that Parliament could not alter the essential features of the Constitution. This judgement strengthened constitutional democracy by protecting fundamental values from political majorities. Another transformative decision came in *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India* (1978), where the Court broadened the interpretation of Article 21. The right to life was no longer confined to mere physical existence but came to include dignity, fairness, and due process. The Court continued this progressive approach in *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* (1997), where it formulated guidelines against workplace sexual harassment in the absence of legislation. Similarly, in *K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India* (2017), the Supreme Court recognized privacy as a fundamental right, acknowledging the realities of the digital age.

These decisions demonstrate how judicial interpretation has transformed constitutional rights into powerful tools for social change and democratic empowerment.

CHALLENGES IN THE REALIZATION OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

Despite constitutional guarantees, the practical enforcement of Fundamental Rights remains uneven.

Judicial Delays

A major challenge is the backlog of cases in courts. Individuals whose rights are violated often face lengthy legal battles before obtaining relief. Justice delayed can significantly weaken the effectiveness of constitutional protections.

Social and Economic Inequalities

Many citizens, particularly those from marginalized communities, lack access to legal resources and awareness about their rights. Economic hardships often prevent individuals from pursuing legal remedies even when violations occur.

State Restrictions

While Fundamental Rights are essential, they are not absolute. The Constitution permits reasonable restrictions in the interests of national security, public order, morality, and sovereignty. However, debates frequently arise regarding whether such restrictions are genuinely necessary or whether they disproportionately limit civil liberties.

Emerging Digital Challenges

The rise of technology has created new concerns related to surveillance, data privacy, online censorship, and digital freedom. Balancing technological regulation with constitutional freedoms has become one of the most significant challenges for modern democracies.

THE JUDICIARY AS GUARDIAN OF DEMOCRACY

The judiciary serves as the ultimate protector of Fundamental Rights. Through judicial review, courts ensure that laws and executive actions remain consistent with constitutional principles. Public Interest Litigation (PIL) has further expanded access to justice by allowing individuals and organizations to seek remedies on behalf of disadvantaged groups. This innovation has enabled courts to address issues such as environmental protection, prison reforms, gender justice, and human rights violations.

By acting as a constitutional watchdog, the judiciary reinforces democratic accountability and protects citizens from abuse of power.

THE WAY FORWARD

For Fundamental Rights to achieve their full potential, several reforms are necessary:

- Strengthening judicial infrastructure to reduce delays.

- Increasing public awareness about constitutional rights.
- Enhancing legal aid services for vulnerable sections of society.
- Ensuring transparency and accountability in governmental actions.
- Adapting constitutional protections to address emerging technological and social challenges.

A democratic society requires not only constitutional guarantees but also effective institutions capable of enforcing them.

CONCLUSION

Fundamental Rights form the cornerstone of India's democratic framework. They protect individual liberty, promote equality, and ensure that state power remains subject to constitutional limitations. Over the decades, judicial innovation has expanded these rights to address contemporary realities, making them relevant to changing social conditions. However, constitutional promises alone are not enough. Effective implementation, public awareness, and institutional commitment are equally important. As India continues to evolve as a democratic nation, the protection and strengthening of Fundamental Rights will remain essential for preserving justice, liberty, and human dignity for all citizens.

REFERENCES

1. The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation, Oxford University Press, 1966.
 2. Indian Constitutional Law, LexisNexis, 2018.
 3. Constitutional Law of India, Universal Law Publishing, 2013.
 4. Judicial Review and Fundamental Rights in India, Eastern Law House, 2010.
 5. We, the People, N.M. Tripathi, 1992.
 6. Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala.
 7. Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India.
 8. Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan.
 9. I.R. Coelho v. State of Tamil Nadu.
 10. K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of Indi
-

CITIZENSHIP IN INDIA: EVOLUTION, LAW, AND CONTEMPORARY DEBATES

By: Aryan Patel

INTRODUCTION

Citizenship is one of the most important concepts in a democratic nation. It defines the legal relationship between an individual and the state, granting rights, privileges, and responsibilities. In India, citizenship signifies full membership in the Republic and allegiance to the Constitution of India. Unlike the colonial period, when Indians were merely subjects of the British Crown, independence brought the need to define who belonged to the new nation. The Constitution of India and the Citizenship Act, 1955, established the legal framework governing citizenship, which continues to evolve in response to changing political and social realities.

CITIZENSHIP UNDER THE CONSTITUTION

Part II of the Constitution (Articles 5–11) deals with citizenship at the commencement of the Constitution.

- **Article 5** grants citizenship to persons domiciled in India.
- **Article 6** deals with migrants from Pakistan.
- **Article 7** concerns persons who migrated to Pakistan but later returned.
- **Article 8** provides citizenship rights to persons of Indian origin residing abroad.
- **Article 9** prohibits dual citizenship.
- **Article 10** guarantees continuity of citizenship.
- **Article 11** empowers Parliament to regulate citizenship through legislation.

These provisions were designed to address the unique challenges arising from Partition and large-scale migration.

CITIZENSHIP ACT, 1955

The Citizenship Act, 1955, provides detailed rules regarding the acquisition and loss of citizenship.

Modes of Acquiring Citizenship

1. **By Birth** – Citizenship granted to persons born in India, subject to statutory conditions.
2. **By Descent** – Citizenship acquired through Indian parentage.
3. **By Registration** – Available to persons of Indian origin and certain foreign nationals meeting prescribed conditions.
4. **By Naturalization** – Granted to foreign nationals who satisfy residence and eligibility requirements.
5. **By Incorporation of Territory** – Applicable when new territory becomes part of India.

Loss of Citizenship- Indian citizenship may be lost through:

- **Renunciation** – Voluntary surrender of citizenship.
- **Termination** – Acquisition of citizenship of another country.
- **Deprivation** – Revocation by the government under specified circumstances such as fraud or disloyalty.

SINGLE CITIZENSHIP IN INDIA

India follows the principle of **single citizenship**, unlike countries such as the United States where dual citizenship may exist. An Indian citizen cannot simultaneously hold citizenship of another country. The rationale behind this policy includes:

- Promoting national unity.
- Ensuring equality among citizens.
- Strengthening the federal structure.
- Maintaining uniform rights and obligations across the country.

While debates on dual citizenship continue, India has retained single citizenship as a constitutional principle.

OVERSEAS CITIZENSHIP AND THE INDIAN DIASPORA

To maintain ties with Indians living abroad, the government introduced special schemes:

Overseas Citizen of India (OCI)- The OCI scheme provides foreign nationals of Indian origin with lifelong visa privileges and several economic and educational benefits. However, OCI holders are not Indian citizens and do not possess political rights such as voting.

Persons of Indian Origin (PIO)- The PIO scheme was merged with OCI in 2015 to simplify regulations and strengthen engagement with the Indian diaspora.

MAJOR AMENDMENTS TO CITIZENSHIP LAW

Citizenship Amendment, 1986- The amendment tightened citizenship by birth by requiring at least one parent to be an Indian citizen.

Amendments of 2003 and 2004- These amendments further restricted citizenship by birth. A child born in India after December 2004 is eligible for citizenship only if one parent is an Indian citizen and the other is not an illegal migrant.

Citizenship Amendment, 2015- The amendment merged the PIO and OCI schemes, creating a unified OCI Cardholder category.

Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA), 2019- The Citizenship Amendment Act, 2019, remains one of the most debated citizenship laws in India. The Act provides a faster path to Indian citizenship for Hindus, Sikhs, Buddhists, Jains, Parsis, and Christians from Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Afghanistan who entered India before 31 December 2014 due to religious persecution.

CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES

Citizenship in India today faces several challenges:

- Migration and refugee management.
- Balancing national security with humanitarian concerns.

- Protection of minority rights.
- Implementation of citizenship documentation processes.
- Addressing concerns regarding statelessness.

The debate surrounding citizenship increasingly reflects broader questions of identity, inclusion, constitutional values, and national integration.

CONCLUSION

Citizenship is more than a legal status; it represents belonging, participation, and responsibility within a democratic society. India's citizenship framework has evolved from the immediate concerns of Partition to addressing contemporary issues of migration, security, and human rights. The Constitution and the Citizenship Act, 1955, continue to provide the foundation of Indian citizenship law. However, ongoing debates surrounding amendments such as the CAA demonstrate that citizenship remains a dynamic and evolving concept. As India progresses, the challenge will be to balance national interests with constitutional principles of equality, justice, and inclusiveness.

References

1. M.P. Jain, *Indian Constitutional Law*, LexisNexis, 2023.
 2. D.D. Basu, *Introduction to the Constitution of India*, LexisNexis, 2022.
 3. H.M. Seervai, *Constitutional Law of India*, Universal Law Publishing, 2013.
 4. Granville Austin, *The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation*, Oxford University Press, 1966.
 5. The Constitution of India, Part II (Articles 5–11).
 6. The Citizenship Act, 1955.
 7. The Citizenship (Amendment) Act, 1986.
 8. The Citizenship (Amendment) Act, 2019.
 9. Nani A. Palkhivala, *We, the People*, UBS Publishers, 1994.
 10. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India – Citizenship and OCI Guidelines.
-